

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/058,270	MACK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan Ungar	1642

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Susan Ungar. (3) _____.

(2) Viola Kung. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 October 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Dr. Kung brought to Examiner's attention that the restriction requirement mailed September 9, 2004 does not match the claim set in the instant application. Therefore this restriction requirement is hereby withdrawn. Examiner apologizes for the inconvenience and a proper restriction requirement will follow.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required